

H. Helium Excited State and Origin of Magnetism: Brief Overview

Picture: "one electron in ϕ_{1s} , another in ϕ_{2s} "

could be

$2s \uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$
$1s \uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$

but $\psi(1,2)$ must be anti-symmetric

Spatial Part

Spin Part

one
state
($S=0$)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_1) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_2) + \phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_2) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_1)] \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \alpha(2)\beta(1)] \quad (47a)$$

(symmetric) (anti-symmetric) [singlet]

three
states
($S=1$)

[$^{\circ\circ} M_S = +1, 0, -1$]

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_1) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_2) - \phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_2) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_1)] \cdot \begin{cases} \alpha(1)\alpha(2) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(1)\beta(2) + \alpha(2)\beta(1)] \\ \beta(1)\beta(2) \end{cases} \quad (47b)$$

(anti-symmetric) (symmetric) [triplet]

Aside (Optional)

Using Eqs. (47a) and (47b) to set up self-consistency equations⁺ to solve for $\phi_{1s}(\epsilon_{1s})$ and $\phi_{2s}(\epsilon_{2s})$, one gets the Hartree-Fock approximation. The approximation takes proper account of the anti-symmetric requirement of many-electron wavefunctions.

⁺ In equation for ϕ_{1s} , the electron in ϕ_{2s} contributes to the effective Hamiltonian. In equation for ϕ_{2s} , the electron in ϕ_{1s} contributes to the effective Hamiltonian. coupled equations for ϕ_{1s} and ϕ_{2s}
 Spatial forms in Eqs. (47a) (47b) imply more complicated terms in effective Hamiltonian

Q: Will $S=0$ state and $S=1$ states have different energies?

$$\hat{H}_{\text{He}} = \hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2 + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|} \quad (8)$$

[Note: $\hat{H}_{\text{He}}$ has no terms depending on spins. But value of S turns out to be important!]

$S=0$ state (singlet)

$$E_{\text{singlet}} = \iint \frac{1}{2} [\phi_{1s}^*(\vec{r}_1) \phi_{2s}^*(\vec{r}_2) + \phi_{1s}^*(\vec{r}_2) \phi_{2s}^*(\vec{r}_1)] \hat{H}_{\text{He}} [\phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_1) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_2) + \phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_2) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_1)] d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \quad (48)^+$$

$S=1$ state (triplet)

$$E_{\text{triplet}} = \iint \frac{1}{2} [\phi_{1s}^*(\vec{r}_1) \phi_{2s}^*(\vec{r}_2) - \phi_{1s}^*(\vec{r}_2) \phi_{2s}^*(\vec{r}_1)] \hat{H}_{\text{He}} [\phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_1) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_2) - \phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_2) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_1)] d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \quad (49)^+$$

[They are not the same, by inspection]

⁺ Where does the spin part go?

Roughly,

$$E_{\text{singlet}} = \underbrace{[\text{some terms}]}_{I \text{ \& } J_{1s, 2s}} + \text{terms like } \iint \phi_{1s}^*(\vec{r}_1) \underbrace{\phi_{2s}^*(\vec{r}_2)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{called } K_{1s, 2s}}} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|} \underbrace{\phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_2)}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{called } K_{1s, 2s}}} \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_1) d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \quad (50)$$

$$E_{\text{triplet}} = \underbrace{[\text{some terms}]}_{I \text{ \& } J_{1s, 2s}} - \text{terms like } \iint \phi_{1s}^*(\vec{r}_1) \phi_{2s}^*(\vec{r}_2) \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|} \phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_2) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_1) d^3r_1 d^3r_2$$

- $K_{1s, 2s}$ has no classical analogy [inspect states and coordinates] (entirely quantum)
- called exchange Coulomb integral (or indirect Coulomb integral)

For helium atom, $E_{\text{triplet}} < E_{\text{singlet}}$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{S=1 \text{ is a lower energy excited state than } S=0}$$

Recall

$$K_{a,b} \equiv \int \phi_a^*(\vec{r}_1) \phi_b^*(\vec{r}_2) \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|} \phi_a(\vec{r}_2) \phi_b(\vec{r}_1) d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \quad (51)$$

▪ entirely quantum effect ▪ Exchange Coulomb Integral[†]

compared with

$$J_{a,b} \equiv \int \phi_a^*(\vec{r}_1) \phi_b^*(\vec{r}_2) \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|} \phi_a(\vec{r}_1) \phi_b(\vec{r}_2) d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \quad (52)$$

▪ ^(EM) has classical interpretation ▪ Direct Coulomb Integral

[†] $K_{a,b}$ arises because of the anti-symmetric requirement of many-electron wavefunctions, and it is due to $\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|}$ term.

- 1st excited state is $S=1$ state

Physical Reason: $S=1$ has $\psi_{\text{spatial}}^{(\text{anti-sym})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\underbrace{\phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_1) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_2) - \phi_{1s}(\vec{r}_2) \phi_{2s}(\vec{r}_1)}_{\text{this is zero when } \vec{r}_1 = \vec{r}_2} \right]$

↑
antisymmetric
spatial wavefunction

can't find electrons at the same place!

$\psi_{\text{spatial}}^{(\text{anti-sym})}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$ forbids electrons to be at the same place

Important idea!

Good!

lowers Coulomb Repulsion $\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|}$ between them

because electrons can't get too close!

[In contrast, $\psi_{\text{spatial}}^{(\text{sym})}$ ($S=0$ state) allows electrons to be close, higher energy!]
(likes)

He-atom

Term
Symbol $\rightarrow$ $\boxed{S=0 \text{ (singlet)}}$

$$\overline{(1s, 2s)}$$

$$\boxed{S=1 \text{ (triplet)}}$$

$$\overline{(1s, 2s)} \text{ } ^3S_1 \leftarrow \text{Term Symbol}$$

$$\text{GS} \text{ --- } (1s, 1s)$$

Higher excited states can be grouped into singlet and triplet states
 $\because$ involving 2 electrons

▪ This is also the physics behind the Hund's rules⁺

2 electrons
in p states:



Hund's rule prefers this [also works for electrons in d and f states]

Why?

- Ψ_{spin} is symmetric $\Rightarrow \Psi_{\text{spatial}}$ is anti-symmetric
- $\Rightarrow$ avoid electrons to be at same place
 - $\Rightarrow$ suppress Coulomb repulsion
 - $\Rightarrow$ lower energy, thus preferred!

This is really "Thinking like a physicist"!

⁺ Extending to many-electron cases is more involved. But conclusion remains.
Or else, do QM calculations with Slater Determinant.

Hund's Rules (See Optional Aside)

- Rules on how to fill a few electrons into

p $\uparrow\downarrow$ — — or $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ — ?

d $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ — or $\uparrow\downarrow$ $\uparrow\downarrow$ — — ?

Formally, do different QM calculations[†] and find lowest energy filling
 Practically, summarize the results of many QM calculations into
 empirical rules — Hund's rules
 student of Born

[†] Different fillings correspond to different wavefunctions [Slater Determinants]

Recall: Term Symbol

Helium atom

- Ground State [two electrons in $1s$, spin ($S=0$) singlet]
 1S_0
 $L=0, S=0 \Rightarrow J=0$ (lower corner)
 "S" in middle
 electrons in $L=0$ (upper LH corner)
 $2S+1=1$ (upper LH corner)

- 1st excited state [one electron in $1s$, another in $2s$, spin ($S=1$) triplet]
 $1s \Rightarrow l=0, 2s \Rightarrow l=0 \Rightarrow L=0$
 3S_1
 the "S" in the center
 $S=1, L=0 \Rightarrow J=1$
 $(2S+1=3)$
 the "1" in lower corner

• Big Physics that emerges... Origin of Magnetism

$S=1$ { two spins tend to align }

is preferred over $S=0$ { two anti-aligned spins }
in Helium 1st excited state

⇒ magnetism has a quantum origin!

($\because$ exchange integral determines alignment or anti-alignment)

$K_{A,B}$
(sign)

"ferromagnetic"

"anti-ferromagnetic"

due to $\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|}$, electromagnetic interaction + quantum requirement on $\psi(1,2)$

Let there be Magnets

- Atoms (some, not all) are like a small magnetic
 [Fe, Co, Ni have aligned electrons' spins in 3d]
- It is the spins, thus $\vec{\mu}_s$, that matter
 [spin is very quantum]
- Ferromagnetic materials: $\vec{\mu}$'s of neighboring atoms aligned
 - Mechanism is NOT due to classical EM dipole-dipole interaction
 [can't explain why Fe, Co, Ni, Gd are ferromagnetic at room temp.]
 - Mechanism is quantum (due to exchange Coulomb integral)
 $\therefore$ due to electron-electron $\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|}$ interaction

Models: Following interaction of two angular momentum

$$\mathcal{H} \sim \underbrace{-\tilde{K}}_{\substack{\text{energy} \\ \text{set by exchange integral} \\ (\text{assume } \tilde{K} > 0)}} \frac{\vec{S}_i}{\hbar} \cdot \frac{\vec{S}_j}{\hbar}$$

spin-half
(e.g. $S_i = 1/2$; $S_j = 1/2$)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{--- } \frac{3\tilde{K}}{4} & S=0 \quad \uparrow \downarrow \\ & \tilde{K} \\ \text{--- } -\frac{\tilde{K}}{4} & S=1 \quad \uparrow \uparrow \quad \downarrow \downarrow \end{array}$$

$$H = -K \sum_{\substack{(ij) \\ \text{nearest neighbors (n.n.)}}} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (53) \quad \underbrace{(\text{Heisenberg model of ferromagnetism})}_{1928}$$



an array of $\vec{\mu}$ (thus $\vec{S}$) ($\tilde{K}$ is called the exchange interaction energy)

Big Physics : Short-range (n.n.) interaction could lead to long-range order
Curie temperature T_c : $kT_c \sim \tilde{K}$ ($T > T_c$ destroys ferromagnets)

Think like a Physicist

$T = 0\text{ K}$ (zero temperature) Want to get lowest energy configuration?

All $\vec{\mu}$'s aligned $\Rightarrow$ make use of $(-J)$ (aligned neighbors)

$\therefore T = 0\text{ K}$



ordered (ferromagnetic)

many pairs of neighbors
temperature

$T \neq 0\text{ K}$ Thermal Physics $\Rightarrow$ Want to minimize $F = U - TS$

"minus" entropy

$\therefore$ Temperature tends to randomize the system

(e.g. flip one spin out of N spins $\Rightarrow N$ ways $\Rightarrow N$ microstates)

$k \ln N = \text{entropy}$

kT (thermal energy) competes with J (exchange energy)

wants to randomize alignment

High Temp $\Rightarrow$ destroys ferromagnetic order

prefers alignment

Low Temp $\Rightarrow$ ferromagnetic order